

## REFERENCES

- Abram, S. (2010a). E-books, part 2: trends and standards. *Information Outlook*, 14(i4), 37-38.
- Abram, S. (2010b). P-books vs. e-books: death match *Information Outlook*, 14(6), 30-32.
- Adeel, K (2014). *Journal of Education and Human Development*. June 2014, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 243-258 ISSN: 2334-296X (Print), 2334-2978 (Online) Copyright © The Author(s). 2014. All Rights Reserved. Published by American Research Institute for Policy Development.
- Rockinson, (2012). Electronic versus traditional print textbooks: *A comparison study on the influence of university students' learning*. *Computers & Education* 63 (2013) 259–266 journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/compedu](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/compedu)
- Anderson, L., & Krathwohl, D. R. (2001). A taxonomy for learning, teaching and assessing: *A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*. New York. Longman.
- Behav. (.2001) February 24(1):1-34; discussion 34-86. *The dynamics of embodiment: a field theory of infant perseverative reaching*. Thelen E<sup>1</sup>, Schöner G, Scheier C, Smith LB.
- Behler, A., & Lush, B. (2010). *Are you ready for e-readers?.* *The Reference Librarian*, 52(1/2), 75- 87.
- Bloom. (1956). Taxonomy of educational objectives: *The classification of educational goals*. Handbook I: Cognitive domain. New York, Toronto: Longmans, Green.
- Chen, Y. (2002). Application and development of electronic books in an e - Gutenberg Age. *Online Information Review* 27, 1-16.
- Chesser, W. D. (2011). Chapter 5: *the e-textbook revolution*. In *Library Technology Reports*, 47(8), 28–40
- Christiane, M. (2017). *International Journal of Child-Computer Interaction* Volume 12, Pages 1-48 (April 2017). *Reading in the 21st Century: How does Digital Book-reading Influence the Reading Processes and Outcomes for Young Children?*
- Connell, C. (2012). *Effects of e-book readers and tablets computers on reading comprehension*. *International Journal of Instructional Media*, 39(2), 131–140.

- Chesebro, McCroskey . (2000). *The Relationship between students' Report of Learning and Their Actual Recall of Lecture Material: A Validity Test*. Communication Education Vol. 49 No.3 July 2000. pp. 297-301. Copyright 2000. National Communication Association.
- Djaali, (2008). *Skala likert*. Jakarta: PustakaUtama.
- Genevieve & George (2014) International Journal of Humanities Social Sciences and Education (IJHSSE). *Electronic Books versus Paper Books: Pre-Service Teacher Preference for University Study and Recreational Reading* . Volume 1, Issue 8, August 2014, PP 13-22 ISSN 2349-0373 (Print) & ISSN 2349-0381 (Online) www.arcjournals.org
- Hasan, N. (2011). *Usage and subscription patterns in books*. International Journal of Information, 1(2), 69.
- Jennifer . (2008). Department of Information and Communications, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK Magda Vassiliou, Jennifer Rowley, (2008) "Progressing the definition of “e-book”", Library Hi Tech, Vol. 26 Issue: 3, pp.355-368, <https://doi.org/10.1108/07378830810903292> Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Jeong, H. (2012). *A comparison of the influence of electronic books and paper books on reading comprehension, eye fatigue, and perception*. The Electronic Library, 30(3), 390– 408. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02640471211241663>
- J Nurs . (2003). *The effect of communication on nursing student outcomes in a Web-based course*. Frith KH<sup>1</sup>, Kee CC. PMID: 12938897
- Kang, Y., Wang, M., & Lin, R. (2009). *Usability evaluation of e-books*. Displays, 30(2), 49–52.
- Karen, N. (2010). *Affective learning: A taxonomy for teaching social work values*. Bakersfield Journal of Social Work Values and Ethics, Volume 7, Number 2 (2010) Copyright 2010, White Hat Communications
- Kendall. (2007). *Aesthetics—What? Why? and Wherefore?.* March 2007. 10.1111/j.1540-594X.2007.00246.x. Volume 65, Issue 2 Spring 2007 Pages 147–161
- K.T. Anuradha, (2006) "E-books access models: an analytical comparative study", The Electronic Library, Vol. 24 Issue: 5, pp.662-679, <https://doi.org/10.1108/02640470610707268>. National Centre for Science Information, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India) Emerald Group Publishing Limited 2006

- Landoni. (2003) Electronic Books. In John Feather and Paul Sturges (eds) International Encyclopedia of Information and Library Science. London: Routledge. 168- 171
- Letchumanan, M. (2010).Utilization of e-book among university mathematics students.Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 8, 580-587.
- Martinez. (2012). EBooks: The next step in educational innovation. Business Communication Quarterly, 75(2), 125-135
- Meredith at al. (2002). Educational Research. United Stated of America.
- Levine-Clark, (2007) "Electronic books and the humanities: a survey at the University of Denver", Collection Building, Vol. 26 Issue: 1, pp.7-14, <https://doi.org/10.1108/01604950710721548>. Published by Emerald Group Publishing Limited
- Mohammed, Shimaa. (2015). *The effect of interactive e-book on students' achievement at Najran University in computer in education course*. Journal of Education and Practice [www.iiste.org](http://www.iiste.org) ISSN 2222-1735 (Paper) ISSN 2222-288X (Online) Vol.6, No.19, 2015 Faculty of education- Najran University, Saudi Arabia.
- Nelson, L., & O'Neil, F. (2001). Electronic monographs in the academic library: an implementation story. LASIE, 32, 13–20.
- Nelson, M. R. (2008). E-books in higher education. EDUCAUSE Review, 43(2), 40–56
- Nilgün. (2014). Trakya University, Faculty of Education, CEIT Department, Edirne, Turkey. [nilgunt@hotmail.com](mailto:nilgunt@hotmail.com) TOJET: The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology – January 2014, volume 13 issue 1
- Nutting. (2013). *Electronic Versus Traditional Print Textbooks: An Evaluation of Student Achievement and Instructor Levels of Use of the Innovation in a Community College*. University of Alabama. Department of Educational Leadership, Policy, and Technology Studies.
- Pace, C. R. (1990). *The undergraduates: A report of their activities and progress in college in the 1980's*. Los Angeles: Los Angeles; Center for the Study of Evaluation, University of California.
- Roberto ,S. .(2008) "An Examination of Dynamic Capabilities: Is Evolutionary Theory Underdetermined?", Management Research: Journal of the Iberoamerican Academy of Management, Vol. 6 Issue: 1, pp.47-62, <https://doi.org/10.2753/JMR1536-5433060103>. Emerald Group Publishing Limited 2008.

- Rockinson. (2011). *Motivating students to learn: is there a difference between traditional books and e-books*. In Proceedings of Global Learn Asia Pacific 2011 (pp 235–239). AACE, Retrieved from. <http://www.editlib.org/p/37178>
- Rovai, A., & Baker, J. (2005). Gender differences in online learning. *Quarterly Review of Distance Education*, 6(1), 33–44.
- Rovai, A., Wighting, M., Baker, J., & Grooms, L. (2009). *Development of an instrument to measure perceived cognitive, affective, and psychomotor learning in traditional and virtual classroom higher education settings*. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 12(1), 7–13.
- Rukancı, H. (2003). E-Kitap Teknolojisi ve Kullanımı. *Türk Kütüphaneciliği*, 17, 2,147-166. IETC 2014 Preservice teachers' views about e-book and their levels of use of e-books Murat YALMANa \* a Computer Education and Instructional Technology Dicle University, Turkey. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 176 ( 2015 ) 255 – 262. Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com).
- Smith, S. D., & Caruso, J. B. (2010). *The ECAR study of undergraduate students and information technology, 2010 (Research Study, Vol. 6)*. Boulder, CO: EDUCAUSE Center for Applied Research, Retrieved from. <http://www.educause.edu/ers1006>.
- Smyth & Carlin (2012) *Use and Perception of Ebooks in the University of Ulster: A Case Study*
- Sarah Smyth & Andrew P. Carlin Pages 176-205 | Published online: 24 Sep 2012. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/13614533.2012.719851>.
- Stuyven. (2005). *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*. Vol. 30, No. 4, August 2005, pp. 325–341 ISSN 0260-2938 (print)/ISSN 1469-297X (online)/05/040325–17 © 2005 Taylor & Francis Group Ltd DOI: 10.1080/02602930500099102. University of Leuven (KULeuven), Belgium
- Sugiyono. (2011). *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan (Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, RnD)*. Alfabeta. Bandung
- Van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M. (2009). Leerstrategieën meten. Soorten meetmethoden en hun bruikbaarheid in onderwijs en onderzoek. [Measuring Learning strategies. *Different kinds of assessment methods and their usefulness in education and research*]. *Pedagogische Studiën*, 86, 103–110.
- Veenman, M. V. J. (2011). Learning to self-monitor and self-regulate. In R. Mayer & P. Alexander (Eds.), *Handbook of research on learning and instruction* (pp. 197–218). New York: Routledge.