STUDENT SELF-EVALUATIVE JUDGMENT OF TEACHER AND PEER WRITTEN CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK





By:

INTAN DINA FITRI

NIM. 171002010

POST GRADUATE
ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM
UNIVERSITY OF MUHAMMADIYAH GRESIK
2020

STUDENT SELF-EVALUATIVE JUDGMENT OF TEACHER AND PEER WRITTEN CORRECTIVE FEEDBACK

THESIS

Presented to

University of Muhammadiyah Gresik
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for
Master's Degree of English Education Program

By:

INTAN DINA FITRI

NIM. 171002010

POST GRADUATE
ENGLISH EDUCATION PROGRAM
UNIVERSITY OF MUHAMMADIYAH GRESIK
2020

Motto and Dedication

"Never give up and try to do the best."





ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, let us thank to Allah SWT, because of His mercies and blessing, the writer is able to finish the thesis which is submitted to fulfill the partial requirements for the Magister Degree at English Education Study Program, at University of Muhammadiyah Gresik. There are many people who have encouraged, supported, and helped the writer in many ways. In this occasion, the writer would like to thank very much to:

- 1. Dr. Khoirul Anwar, M. Pd, advisor I who has advised, guided, and supported the writer in finishing writing this thesis.
- 2. Dr. Yudhi Arifani, M.Pd, advisor II who has guided, gives a lot of suggestion to the writer in finishing this thesis well.
- 3. All the Magister of English Study Program lecturers who have transferred their valuable knowledge to the writer since the first entering the university up to now. The writer tries to always do the best.
- 4. My family, especially my lovely husband, mother, father, grandmother, brothers, sisters who have supported the writer either morally, spiritually, or even materially in finishing this thesis. Praise to Allah for putting me in the best lovable family ever. Thank you.

The writer is nothing without you all. Finally, the writer is only able to say that this study is the best for writer's effort, although it is far from being. Hence, critic and suggestion is hopefully. The writer wishes that this study will be useful, especially for the students of Magister of English Education Study Program at University of Muhammadiyah Gresik.

Gresik, February 3rd, 2020 The researcher

ABSTRACT

Fitri, I. D. 2020. Student Self-Evaluative Judgment of Teacher and Peer Written Corrective Feedback. Thesis. English Education Study Program. Magister Program. University of Muhammadiyah Gresik. First Advisor: Dr. Khoirul Anwar, M. Pd Second Advisor: Dr. Yudhi Arifani, M.Pd.

Student self-evaluative judgment was important to evaluate the practice of teacher and peers written corrective feedback (WCF). It could be used to determine whether both methods were a proper method for student or not. Inappropriate WCF could damage students writing improvement and influenced student psychology aspect. This study aimed to identify student' self-evaluative judgment of teacher and peer WCF, correlated them with student' writing ability, and compared both of WCF methods. This research employed quantitative using survey, correlation and comparative design. Data were collected from 105 L2 learners using close questionnaires and writing test. Student' writing ability scores were taken from their teacher. By using quantitative research, this study found that first, both teacher and peer WCF had satisfied result on student' self- evaluative judgment. It meant that both methods were acceptable as an appropriate method in learning writing. Second, student with higher agreement of both teacher and peer WCF practice, would be also has a higher score on their writing. Third, student preferred to use teacher WCF than peer WCF. However, peer WCF still useful to be an adjunct for teacher WCF practice since it could improve student writing ability.

Key words: Student' Self Evaluative Judgment, Written Corrective Feedback.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| TITLE SHEET | |
| APPROVAL SHEET | |
| BOARDS OF EXAMINERS | |
| STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY | vi |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTABSTRACT | |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | ix |
| LIST OF TABLESLIST OF APPENDIX | |
| | |
| CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Background of the Study | 1 |
| 1.2 Statements of the Problem | 5 |
| 1.3 Statements of the Hypothesis | 5 |
| 1.4 Purposes of the Research | 6 |
| 1.5 Significance of the Research | 7 |
| 1.6 Scope and Limitation | 7 |
| 1.7 Definition of the Key Terms | 7 |
| CHARRED II DEVIEW OF DELATED LITERATURE | 0 |
| CHAPTER II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE | 9 |
| 2.1 Writing | 9 |
| 2.2 Corrective Feedback (CF) | |
| 2.3 Written Corrective Feedback (WCF) | 12 |
| 2.4 Teacher Written Corrective Feedback | 12 |
| 2.5 Peer Written Corrective Feedback. | 14 |
| 2.6 Teacher written corrective feedback versus Peer Written Corrective Feedback. | 16 |
| 2.7 Student Self-Evaluative Judgment of Corrective Feedback | |
| 2.8 Students Self-Evaluative Judgments of Feedback and Student Writing Ability | 19 |

| | PTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | |
|--------|---|------|
| | esearch Design | |
| | esearch Procedure | |
| | strument | |
| 3.5 Da | ata Analysis | . 30 |
| 3.6 H | ypothesis Testing | 33 |
| CHA | PTER IV RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION | 38 |
| 4.1 | Validity Confirmation | |
| 4.2 | Research Findings | 40 |
| 4.2.1 | Student Self-Evaluative Judgment of Teacher Written Corrective Feedback | 41 |
| 4.2.2 | Student Self-Evaluative Judgment of Peer Written Corrective Feedback | 45 |
| 4.2.3 | Student's Writing Ability | 50 |
| 4.3 | Statistical Analysis Normality Test | 56 |
| 4.3.1 | Normality Test | . 57 |
| 4.3.2 | The Correlation Between Student Self-Evaluative Judgment Of Teacher Written Corrective Feedback and Students Writing Ability | 58 |
| 4.3.3 | The Correlation between Student Self-Evaluative Judgment Of Peer Written Corrective Feedback And Students Writing Ability | . 59 |
| 4.3.4 | The Comparison between Student Self-Evaluative Judgment of Teacher Written Corrective Feedback and Student Self-Evaluative Judgment of Peer Written Corrective Feedback | |
| 4.4 | Discussion | 61 |
| 4.4.1 | Identify Student Self-Evaluative Judgment of Teacher Written Corrective Feedback | . 62 |
| 4.4.2 | Identify Student Self-Evaluative Judgment of Peer Written Corrective Feedback | . 62 |
| 4.4.3 | Determine The Relationship Between Student Self-Evaluative Judgme of Teacher Written Corrective Feedback And Students Writing Ability | |
| 4.4.4 | Determine the Relationship between Student Self-Evaluative Judgmen | ıt |

| | of Peer Written Corrective Feedback and Students Writing Ability | . 69 |
|--------|--|------|
| 4.4.5 | Determine the Differences between Student Self-Evaluative Judgment of Teacher and Peer Written Corrective Feedback | |
| CHAI | PTER V CONCLUSION AND SUGGESSTION | . 78 |
| 5.1 C | ONCLUSION | 78 |
| 5.2 SU | JGGESTION | . 80 |
| | ERENCES ENDIX | |
| | GRESIV | |

LIST OF TABLES

| No. | Tables | Page |
|------|---|--------------|
| 3.1 | Participant of Study | 23 |
| 3.2 | Content Validity of Writing Ability Test | 25 |
| 4.1 | Summary of Reliability and Validity | 38 |
| 4.2 | Reliability Statistics Of Student Self-Evaluative Judgment Of Teacher WCF Questionnaire | 38 |
| 4.3 | Validity of Student Self-Evaluative Judgment of Teacher WCF Questionnaire | 39 |
| 4.4 | The Reliability of Student Self-Evaluative Judgment of Peer WCF Questionnaires | 39 |
| 4.5 | The Validity of Student Self-Evaluative Judgment of Peer WCF Questionnaire | 40 |
| 4.6 | Descriptive Statistics of Student Self-Evaluative Judgment of Teacher Written Corrective Feedback (Seventh Grade) | 41 |
| 4.7 | Descriptive Statistics of Student Self-Evaluative Judgment of Teac Written Corrective Feedback (Eighth Grade) | her 42 |
| 4.8 | Descriptive Statistics of student self-evaluative judgment of teache written corrective feedback (Ninth grade) | r 43 |
| 4.9 | Descriptive Statistics of Student Self-Evaluative Judgment of Teac Written Corrective Feedback | her 44 |
| 4.10 | Descriptive Statistics of Student Self-Evaluative Judgment of Peer Written Corrective Feedback (Seventh Grade) | 45 |
| 4.11 | Descriptive Statistics of Student Self-Evaluative Judgment of Peer Written Corrective Feedback (Eighth Grade) | 46 |
| 4.12 | Descriptive Statistics of student self-evaluative judgment of peer w corrective feedback (Ninth grade) | ritten 47 |
| 4.13 | Descriptive Statistics of Student Self-Evaluative Judgment of Peer Written Corrective Feedback | 48 |
| 4.13 | Criteria of Writing Ability | 49 |
| 4.14 | Descriptive Statistics of Student's Writing Score Of Ninth Grade | 50 |

| 4.15 | Descriptive Statistics of Student's Writing Score Of Eighth Grade | 51 |
|------|--|----|
| 4.15 | Descriptive Statistics of Student's Writing Score Of Seventh Grade | 51 |
| 4.16 | Student Writing Ability | 52 |
| 4.17 | Descriptive Analysis of Students Writing Ability | 55 |
| 4.18 | Distribution of Student's Writing Ability | 55 |
| 4.19 | Normality Test | 57 |
| 4.20 | Correlation Between Student Self-Evaluative Judgment of Teacher Written Corrective Feedback and Students Writing Ability | 58 |
| 4.21 | Correlation Between Student Self-Evaluative Judgment of Peer Writ Corrective Feedback and Students Writing Ability | |
| 4.22 | The Result of Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test | 60 |
| 4.23 | The Mean of Student Self-Evaluative Judgment of Teacher and Peer Written Corrective Feedback | 61 |



LIST OF APPENDIX

Appendix 1. Questionnaire for Teacher Written Corrective Feedback Questionnaire for Peer Written Corrective Feedback

Appendix 2. Writing Ability Score

Appendix 3. Rubric of Writing Grading

Appendix 4. Content Validity of Writing Ability Test

Appendix 5. The Calculation of Validity and Reliability of Questionnaires

