

REFERENCES

- Andujar, A., & Salaberri-Ramiro, M. S. (2019). Exploring chat-based communication in the EFL class: computer and mobile environments. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 1–28. doi:10.1080/09588221.2019.1614632
- Astin, A. W. (1984). Student involvement: A developmental theory for higher education. *Journal of college student personnel*, 25(4), 297-308.
- Baleghizadeh, S., & Shayeghi, R. (2014). The relationship between perceptual learning style preferences and Multiple Intelligences among Iranian EFL learners. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International*, 51(3), 255-264.
- Bangert-Drowns, R. L., & Pyke, C. (2002). Teacher ratings of student engagement with educational software: An exploratory study. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 50(2), 23-37.
- Bigatel, P. M., Ragan, L. C., Kennan, S., May, J., & Redmond, B. F. (2012). The identification of competencies for online teaching success. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 16(1), 59-77.
- Blackburn, J. L., & Hakel, M. D. (2006). Enhancing self-regulation and goal orientation with ePortfolios. In *Handbook of research on eportfolios* (pp. 83-89). IGI Global.
- Blank, W. E., & James, W. B. (1993). Learning Styles of Postsecondary Industrial Education Students. *Journal of Industrial Teacher Education*, 31(1), 84-101.
- Burston, J. (2015). Twenty years of MALL project implementation: A meta-analysis of learning outcomes. *ReCALL*, 27(1), 4–20. doi:10.1017/S0958344014000159
- Colpaert, J. (2015). Neither technology nor pedagogy should come first in design. *The educationist*.
- De Vaus, D., & de Vaus, D. (2013). *Surveys in social research*. Routledge.
- Dixson, M. D. (2015). Measuring student engagement in the online course: The Online Student Engagement scale (OSE). *Online Learning*, 19(4).
- Dow, M. J. (2008). Implications of social presence for online learning: A case study of MLS students. *Journal of Education for Library and Information Science*, 231-242.
- Foul, C., & Nel, C. (2003). Teaching reading strategies and reading comprehension within a technology-enhanced learning environment. *System*, 31(3), 349-365.
- Dunn, R. and K. Dunn, 1978. *Teaching Students Through their Individual Learning Styles: A Practical Approach*. Prentice Hall, Reston, VA., pp: 336.
- Felder, R. M. (1996). Matters of style. *ASEE prism*, 6(4), 18-23.
- Fonseca, D., Martí, N., Redondo, E., Navarro, I., & Sánchez, A. (2014). Relationship between student profile, tool use, participation, and academic performance with the use of Augmented Reality technology for visualized architecture models. *Computers in human behavior*, 31, 434-445.
- Foulger, T, S, & Silva, M, J,. (2007). Enhancing the Writing Development of English Language Learners: Teacher Perceptions of Common Technology

- in Project-Based Learning, *Journal of Research in Childhood Education*, 22:2, 109-124, DOI: [10.1080/02568540709594616](https://doi.org/10.1080/02568540709594616)
- Fleming, N., & Mills, C. (2001). VARK: A guide to learning styles.
- Fredericks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P. C., & Paris, A. H. (2004). School engagement: potential of the concept state of the evidence, 74 (1), 59-109.
- Golonka, E. M., Bowles, A. R., Frank, V. M., Richardson, D. L., & Freynik, S. (2014). Technologies for foreign language learning: a review of technology types and their effectiveness. *Computer assisted language learning*, 27(1), 70-105.
- Gonyea, R. M., & Kuh, G. D. (2009). NSSE, organizational intelligence, and the institutional researcher. *New directions for institutional research*, 2009(141), 107-113.
- Graf, S., & Kinshuk, K. (2006, December). Considering learning styles in learning management systems: Investigating the behavior of students in an online course. In *2006 First International Workshop on Semantic Media Adaptation and Personalization (SMAP'06)* (pp. 25-30). IEEE.
- Guertin, L. A., Zappe, S. E., & Kim, H. (2007). Just-in-time teaching exercises to engage students in an introductory-level dinosaur course. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 16(6), 507-514.
- Gronlund, N. E., & Linn, R. (1990). *Measurement and evaluation in teaching* (6th ed.). New York: Macmillan.
- Henrie, C. R., Halverson, L. R., & Graham, C. R. (2015). Measuring student engagement in technology-mediated learning: A review. *Computers & Education*, 90, 36-53.
- Hill, J. R., Song, L., & West, R. E. (2009). Social learning theory and web-based learning environments: A review of research and discussion of implications. *The Amer. Jnl. of Distance Education*, 23(2), 88-103.
- Huang, E. Y., Lin, S. W., & Huang, T. K. (2012). What type of learning style leads to online participation in the mixed-mode e-learning environment? A study of software usage instruction. *Computers & Education*, 58(1), 338-349.
- Hwang, W., Shih, T., Ma, Z., Shadiev, R. & Chen, S. (2016). Evaluating listening and speaking skills in a mobile game-based learning environment with situational contexts, *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 29:4, 639-657, DOI: 10.1080/09588221.2015.1016438
- Kay, R. (2011). Evaluating learning, design, and engagement in web-based learning tools (WBLTs): The WBLT Evaluation Scale. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 27(5), 1849-1856.
- Kehrwald, B. (2008). Understanding social presence in text-based online learning environments. *Distance Education*, 29(1), 89-106.
- Khan, M., & Ibrahim, M. (2017, March). Flipped classroom in technology courses-impact on personal efficacy and perception based on learning style preferences. In *2017 IEEE Integrated STEM Education Conference (ISEC)* (pp. 135-142). IEEE.
- Kuh, G. D., Kinzie, J., Buckley, J. A., Bridges, B. K., & Hayek, J. C. (2007). Major theoretical perspectives on student success in college. *Piecing Together the Student Success Puzzle: Research, Propositions, and Recommendations: ASHE Higher Education Report*, 32(5), 13-20.

- Kukulska-Hulme, A., Lee, H., & Norris, L. (2017). Mobile learning revolution: Implications for language pedagogy. In Shapelle, C. A. & Sauro, S. (Eds.), *The handbook of technology and second language teaching and learning* (pp. 217–233). Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Lee, Cynthia; Yeung, Alexander Seeshing; Ip, Tiffany (2016). *Use of computer technology for English language learning: do learning styles, gender, and age matter?. Computer Assisted Language Learning*, (), 1–17. doi:10.1080/09588221.2016.1140655
- Lee, M. K., Cheung, C. M., & Chen, Z. (2005). Acceptance of Internet-based learning medium: the role of extrinsic and intrinsic motivation. *Information & management*, 42(8), 1095-1104.
- Marks, H. M. (2000). Student engagement in instructional activity: Patterns in the elementary, middle, and high school years. *American educational research journal*, 37(1), 153-184.
- Meng, K., & Patty, D. (1991). Field dependence and contextual organizers. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 84(3), 183-189.
- Nematipour, M. (2012). A study of Iranian EFL learners' autonomy level and its relationship with learning style. *English Linguistics Research*, 1(1), 126-136.
- Newmann, F. M. (1992). *Student engagement and achievement in American secondary schools*. Teachers College Press, 1234 Amsterdam Avenue, New York, NY 10027 (paperback: ISBN-0-8077-3182-X, \$17.95; hardcover: ISBN-0-8077-3183-8, \$38)..
- Ortlieb, E., Sargent, S., & Moreland, M. (2014). Evaluating the efficacy of using a digital reading environment to improve reading comprehension within a reading clinic. *Reading Psychology*, 35(5), 397-421.
- Pekrun, R., & Linnenbrink-Garcia, L. (2012). Academic emotions and student engagement. In *Handbook of research on student engagement* (pp. 259-282). Springer, Boston, MA.
- Peters, L., Shmerling, S., & Karren, R. (2011). Constructivist pedagogy in asynchronous online education: Examining proactive behavior and the impact on student engagement levels. *International Journal on E-Learning*, 10(3), 311-330.
- Rahimi, M., & Katal, M. (2012). The role of metacognitive listening strategies awareness and podcast-use readiness in using podcasting for learning English as a foreign language. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 28(4), 1153-1161.
- Rashid, T., & Asghar, H. M. (2016). Technology use, self-directed learning, student engagement and academic performance: Examining the interrelations. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 63, 604-612.
- Reid, J. M. (1995). *Learning styles in the ESL/EFL classroom*. Heinle & Heinle Publishers, International Thomson Publishing Book Distribution Center, 7625 Empire Drive, Florence, KY 41042..
- Reid, J. M. (1987). The learning style preferences of ESL students. *TESOL quarterly*, 21(1), 87-111.
- Reinders, H., & Wattana, S. (2015). The effects of digital game play on second language interaction. *International Journal of Computer-Assisted Language Learning and Teaching (IJCALLT)*, 5(1), 1-21.

- Reschly, A. L., & Christenson, S. L. (2012). Jingle, jangle, and conceptual haziness: Evolution and future directions of the engagement construct. In *Handbook of research on student engagement* (pp. 3-19). Springer, Boston, MA.
- Robinson, C. C., & Hullinger, H. (2008). New benchmarks in higher education: Student engagement in online learning. *Journal of Education for Business*, 84(2), 101-109.
- Sharifi, M., Rostami AbuSaedi, A., Jafarigohar, M., & Zandi, B. (2018). Retrospect and prospect of computer assisted English language learning: a meta-analysis of the empirical literature. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 31(4), 413-436.
- Sims, R. R., & Sims, S. J. (1995). *The importance of learning styles: understanding the implications for learning, course design, and education: understanding the implications for learning, course design, and education*. ABC-CLIO.
- Stansfield, C., & Hansen, J. (1983). Field dependence-independence as a variable in second language cloze test performance. *TESOL quarterly*, 17(1), 29-38.
- Sugiyono. 2012. *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif kualitatif dan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Sun, Z., Lin, C., You, J., Shen, H. J., Qi, S. & Luo, L. (2017). Improving the English-speaking skills of young learners through mobile social networking, *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 30:3-4, 304-324, DOI: [10.1080/09588221.2017.1308384](https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.2017.1308384)
- Thompson, J. R., Klass, P. H., & Fulk, B. M. (2012). Comparing online and face-to-face presentation of course content in an introductory special education course. *Teacher Education and Special Education*, 35(3), 228-242.
- Tinto, V. (1975). Dropout from higher education: A theoretical synthesis of recent research. *Review of educational research*, 45(1), 89-125.
- Vaseghi, R., Ramezani, A. E., & Gholami, R. (2012). Language learning style preferences: A theoretical and empirical study. *Advances in Asian Social Science*, 2(2), 441-451.
- Vikneswaran, T. & Krish, P., (2016). Utilising social networking sites to improve writing: a case study with Chinese students in Malaysia, *Technology, Pedagogy and Education*, 25:3, 287-300, DOI: [10.1080/1475939X.2015.1030441](https://doi.org/10.1080/1475939X.2015.1030441)
- Warren, S, J., Dondlinger, M, J, & Barab, S, A., (2008). A MUVE Towards PBL Writing, *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 41:1, 113-140, DOI: [10.1080/15391523.2008.10782525](https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2008.10782525)
- Wintergerst, A. C., DeCapua, A., & Itzen, R. C. (2001). The construct validity of one learning styles instrument. *System*, 29(3), 385-403.
- Warschauer, M., & Healey, D. (1998). Computers and language learning: An overview. *Language teaching*, 31(2), 57-71.
- Young, A., & Norgard, C. (2006). Assessing the quality of online courses from the students' perspective. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 9(2), 107-115.
- Zhu, E. (2006). Interaction and cognitive engagement: An analysis of four asynchronous online discussions. *Instructional Science*, 34(6), 451.